

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

MAIL PROCESSING NETWORK RATIONALIZATION  
SERVICE STANDARD CHANGES, 2012

DOCKET NO. N2012-1

FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORIES OF DAVID B. POPKIN TO THE UNITED STATES  
POSTAL SERVICE [DBP/USPS-45 through 49]

David B. Popkin hereby requests the United States Postal Service to answer, fully and completely, the following interrogatories pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Any reference to testimony or other sources should indicate the page and line numbers. The instructions contained in the interrogatories DFC/USPS-T1-1-6 in Docket MC2006-7 dated February 23, 2007, are incorporated herein by reference. I am available for informal discussion to respond to your request to "clarify questions and to identify portions of discovery requests considered overbroad or burdensome."

March 14, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

N20121G45

DAVID B. POPKIN, POST OFFICE BOX 528, ENGLEWOOD, NJ 07631-0528

---

---

DBP/USPS-45 Please refer to your response to Interrogatory DBP/USPS-37 subpart [b]. Please confirm or explain that ZIP Code pairs that presently have overnight service standards for SINGLE PIECE First-Class Mail will convert to either 2-day or 3-day service standards.

DBP/USPS-46 Please refer to your response to Interrogatory DBP/USPS-37 subparts [g] and [h]. Please confirm that the current plans are to keep the current service standards for Priority Mail and Express Mail that presently exist. If you are unable to confirm, please explain what the service standards will be for Priority Mail and Express Mail after the change in First-Class Mail single piece.

DBP/USPS-47 Please refer to your response to Interrogatory DBP/USPS-38 subpart [a]. Your response did not refer to the ability to obtain the lower SCF

destination rate for Presorted Standard Mail [or any other class that has an SCF destination rate]. Will a mailer be required to bring the mail to the DVD P&DC to obtain the lower rate?

DBP/USPS-48      Please refer to your response to Interrogatory DBP/USPS-39.

[a]      Please confirm or explain that the extent of the public notice will improve if a notice is posted in the various associated offices of an affected plant.

[b]      Is there any reason why the Postal Service believes that it is inappropriate to provide such notification?

DBP/USPS-49      Please refer to your response to Interrogatory DBP/USPS-44. Please advise the specific points that have been discussed and the status of these points that have been considered by those employees of the Postal Service that are either responsible for changes to POM Part 3 or are working on the current Docket and discussing items that are related to the material covered in POM Part 3.